Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/652,312	THEOHARIDES, THEOHARIS C.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	1618		
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	(3)			
(2) <u>Dr. Melvin Blecher</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 16 June 2006	Time: <u>11:30 AM</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:				
regionality discussed.				
Claims discussed: 40-44				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
,				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to cancel claims 41 and 43-44 and to amend claims 40 and 42 which are disclosed in examiners amendment in order to issue an allowance. Dr. Melvin Blecer accepted the examiners amendments to claims 40 and 42 and cancelled claims 41 and 43-44.